

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,355	02/21/2001	Paulus De Lange	BASE-102	4679	
32954 7	590 05/16/2005		EXAMINER		
JAMES C. LYDON 100 DAINGERFIELD ROAD			TRAN	TRAN, LEN	
SUITE 100	TIELD KOAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		1725		

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					V			
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/76	3,355	DE LANGE ET AL				
		Exami	iner	Art Unit				
		Len Tr		1725				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 to period for reply is specified above, the maximum so ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	e statutory minimum of the distribution of the	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>4/14/05</i> .						
2a)□								
3)		•		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims				·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 14-17 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5,16 and 17 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) 14-15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from	consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.			· :			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		r b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje		· ·	-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is red	quired if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	ГО-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				į			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority documents Bureau (PCT F	peen received. Deen received in Duments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No on received in this National	Stage			
Attachmer	, ,		_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-9481		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	•		f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/763,355 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al (US 4,560,671.

As to claims 1, 5, and 17, Gross et al disclose a method for activating olefin polymerization catalyst which contain transition metal, titanium as an active component (col. 3, lines 40-44), wherein the steps comprising introducing gas in the lower section containing a layer of catalyst, forming a fluidized bed, treating the catalyst in the fluidized bed by activation treatment (col. 2, lines 43-68). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 1725

the time applicant's invention was made to make the reactor taper downward, since it has been conventional to make fluidized bed tapers downward for easier to remove the product.

As to claim 16, there is no distribution plate.

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gross et al ('671) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wasserman et al (US 5,674,795).

Gross et al disclose the claimed invention above, but fails to teach a cyclone. However, Wasserman et al disclose a cyclone for separation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a cyclone as taught by Wasserman et al, in Gross et al to assist removal of the product.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,355 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran

Examine

Art Unit 1725

LT

May 12, 2005